arXiv:1407.5316v1 [math.AC] 20 Jul 2014

# A note on the Eisenbud-Mazur Conjecture

Ajinkya A More

July 22, 2014

Alumnus, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

**Abstract**

The Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture states that given an equicharacteristic zero, regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ and a prime ideal $P \subset R$, we have that $P^{(2)} \subseteq mP$. In this paper, we computationally prove that the conjecture holds in the special case of certain prime ideals in formal power series rings.

Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture, regular local rings, symbolic powers, evolutions

## 1 Introduction

Eisenbud and Mazur [3] studied symbolic powers in connection with the question of existence of non-trivial evolutions.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $R$ be a ring and $S$ be a local $R$-algebra essentially of finite type. An *evolution* of $S$ over $R$ consists of the following data:

- A local $R$-algebra $T$ essentially of finite type.
- A surjection $T \to S$ of $R$-algebras such that if $\Omega_{T/R}$ and $\Omega_{S/R}$ denote the modules of Kähler differentials of $T$ over $R$ and of $S$ over $R$ respectively, then, the induced map $\Omega_{T/R} \otimes_T S \to \Omega_{S/R}$ is an isomorphism.

The evolution is said to be *trivial* if $T \to S$ is an isomorphism.

The question of existence of non-trivial evolutions leads to the Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture via Theorem 1.4. We first need a more general definition of symbolic powers

**Definition 1.2.** Let $R$ be a ring and $I$ an ideal in $R$. For a positive integer $n$, the *nth symbolic power* of $I$ is defined to be

$$I^{(n)} := \{r \in R : \frac{r}{1} \in I^n R_P \text{ for all } P \text{ such that } P \text{ is an associated prime of } I\}.$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let $R$ be a ring and $I$ an ideal in $R$. We say $I$ is an *unmixed ideal*[1] if every associated prime ideal of $I$ is isolated. In other words, an unmixed ideal has no embedded prime ideals.

**Theorem 1.4.** *[3] Let $R$ be a regular ring. Let $(P, \mathfrak{m})$ be a localization of a polynomial ring in finitely many variables over $R$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $P$. If $P/I$ is reduced and generically separable over $R$, then, every evolution of $P/I$ is trivial if and only if $I^{(2)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}I$.*

We now state a slightly more general version of the Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.5.** *(Eisenbud-Mazur) Given a regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ containing a field of characteristic zero and an unmixed ideal $I$ in $R$, $I^{(2)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}I$.*

The hypothesis that $R$ be regular is necessary. If $R$ is not regular, there exists a prime ideal $P$ in $R$ for which $P^{(2)} \nsubseteq \mathfrak{m}P$ as the following well-known example that appears in [6] shows.

**Example 1.6.** Let $R = k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - yz)$, $P = (x, y)R$. Then $z \in R \setminus P$ and $zy = x^2 \in P^2$. So that, $y \in P^{(2)}$. However, $y \notin (x, y, z)P$.

Hochster and Huneke [5] showed that if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a regular local ring containing a field and $P$ is a prime ideal of height $c$ then $P^{(c+1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$.

Eisenbud and Mazur construct examples in every positive characteristic $p$ to show that the corresponding statement of Conjecture 1.5 does not hold.

# 2 Problem definition

The primary result of the paper is to prove the Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture for the following case.

**Theorem 2.1.** *(Main theorem) Let $R = k[[t, x_1, ..., x_m]]$ be the formal power series ring over a field $k$ of characteristic 0, in $m + 1$ indeterminates. Let $S = k[[t^2, x_1, ..., x_m]]$. Let $f_1(t), ..., f_m(t) \in k[[t]]$. Let $Q_1 = (x_1 - f_1(t), ..., x_m - f_m(t))R$ and $Q_2 = (x_1 - f_1(-t), ..., x_m - f_m(-t))R$. Then $Q_1, Q_2$ are prime ideals that are conjugate under the action of the automorphism on $R$ given by $\sigma : R \to R$, where $\sigma(t) = -t$ and $\sigma(x_i) = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Further, $Q_1, Q_2$ contract to the same prime ideal, say $P$ in $S$,* i.e., *$P = Q_1 \cap S = Q_2 \cap S = (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \cap S$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (t^2, x_1, ..., x_n)S$. Then $P^{(2)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$.*

*Note* 2.2. The conditions above are not as special as it may seem. We show, in Proposition 2.7 below, that for an equicharacteristic complete local ring $S$, in order to prove the Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture for prime ideals, it is sufficient to restrict to prime ideals $P$ such that $\dim(S/P) = 1$. Further, in Proposition

[1] *Note that for the case of unmixed ideals, Definition 1.2 of symbolic powers reduces to the definition that appears in* [3]: given a ring $R$, an ideal $I$ and a positive integer $n$, $I^{(n)} := \{r \in R : \frac{r}{1} \in I^n R_P$ for all $P$ such that $P$ is an minimal prime of $I\}$

2.8, we show that if $S = k[[t, x_1, ..., x_m]]$, where $k$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and $P$ is a prime ideal in $S$ such that $\dim(S/P) = 1$, then, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that for $R = k[[t^{\frac{1}{n}}, x_1, ..., x_m]]$, there exists a prime ideal $Q = (x_1 - f_1(t^{\frac{1}{n}}), ..., x_m - f_m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}))R$ such that $P = Q \cap S$. The case discussed in the preceding paragraph is special of this set-up with $n = 2$.

*Remark* 2.3. From the start of section 2.2 to the remainder of the paper, the notation (including the assumptions on the underlying rings and fields) will be as per the statement of Theorem 2.1. Prior to the start of section 2.2, any such assumptions that are made will be explicitly specified in the statements of the correponding propositions.

We first show that if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is an equicharacteristic complete local ring and if the Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture holds for height unmixed ideals $I$ such that $\dim(R/I) = 1$, then, it holds for all height unmixed ideals in $R$. We first need a few preparatory results starting with an irreducibility criterion for formal power series (page 164, [9]).

**Theorem 2.4.** *[9] Consider the grading on $k[x, y]$ (where $k$ is a field) in which $deg(x) = p > 0$ and $deg(y) = q > 0$. Let $R = k[[x, y]]$ and let $f \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Let $l(f)$ denote the homogeneous polynomial of smallest degree (with respect to the above grading) occurring in $f$. If for some choice of $p, q$, $l(f)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $k[x, y]$, then, $f$ is irreducible in $R$.*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let $R = k[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$, where $n > 2$ and $k$ is a field. If $r, s$ are positive integers such that $gcd(r, s) = 1$, then, the ideal $P = (x_1^r - x_2^s)R$ is prime in $R$.*

*Proof.* Now $x_1^r - x_2^s$ generates a prime ideal in $k[x_1, x_2]$ or equivalently it is irreducible when $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. Then, by Theorem 2.4, $x_1^r - x_2^s$ is irreducible in $k[[x_1, x_2]]$ and hence irreducible in $R$. Then, since $R$ is a unique factorization domain, ideal $P = (x_1^r - x_2^s)R$ is prime in $R$. □

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an equicharacteristic Noetherian complete local domain of dimension $d \geq 2$. Then, for every positive integer $n$, there exists a prime ideal $P_n \neq 0$ in $R$ such that $P_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$.*

*Proof.* Since $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is an equicharacteristic complete local domain, it is module finite over $S = k[[x_1, ..., x_d]]$, where $k$ is a field (Theorem 4.3.3, page 61, [7]). Since $d \geq 2$, by lemma 2.5, $\mathfrak{p}_n = (x_1^n - x_2^{n+1})S$ is a prime ideal in $S$ and $\mathfrak{p}_n \subseteq (x_1, ..., x_d)^n S$. Let $P_n$ be a prime ideal in $R$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}_n$. Then $P_n \neq 0$ and $P_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$. □

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an equicharacteristic Noetherian complete local ring. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ such that $dim(R/P) > 1$ for every associated prime ideal $P$ of $R/I$. If there exists an element $r \in R$ such that $r \in I^{(2)} \setminus \mathfrak{m}I$, then, there exists an ideal $J$ such that $I \subsetneq J$, $r \in J^{(2)} \setminus \mathfrak{m}J$ and $dim(R/J) < dim(R/I)$. Moreover, if $I$ is height unmixed $J$ can be chosen to be a height unmixed ideal. If $I$ is radical, $J$ can be chosen to be radical.*

*Proof.* Let $I = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{p}_n$, where $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a $P_i$-primary ideal, be the primary decomposition of $I$.

By hypothesis, $\dim(R/P_i) > 1$. Then, by Proposition 2.6, $R/P_i$ has non-zero prime ideals, say $\mathcal{Q}_{i,t}$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_{i,t} \subseteq (\mathfrak{m}/P_i)^t$ for all positive integers $t$. Without loss of generality we may choose $\mathcal{Q}_{i,t}$ such that $\mathrm{ht}(\mathcal{Q}_{i,t}) = 1$. Fix a positive integer $t = \tau$ and let $Q_{i,\tau}$ denote the preimage of $\mathcal{Q}_{i,\tau}$ in $R$. Set $Q_i = Q_{i,\tau}$ for brevity of notation. Then $Q_i$ are prime ideals in $R$ such that $P_i \subsetneq Q_i \subseteq P_i + \mathfrak{m}^\tau$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we define ideals

$$\mathfrak{q}_{i,m} := (\mathfrak{p}_i + Q_i^m) : (R \setminus Q_i) = \{r \in R : rs \in (\mathfrak{p}_i + Q_i^m) \text{ for some } s \in (R \setminus Q_i)\}$$

By definition, $\mathfrak{q}_{i,m}$ are $Q_i$-primary.

Next we claim that $\cap_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}\mathfrak{q}_{i,m} = \mathfrak{p}_i$. Let $r \in \cap_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}\mathfrak{q}_{i,m}$. Then there exists a $w_m \in R \setminus Q$ such that $rw_m \in (\mathfrak{p}_i + Q_i^m)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus, $r \in \cap_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}(\mathfrak{p}_i + Q_i^m)R_{Q_i} = \cap_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}(\mathfrak{p}_i R_{Q_i} + Q_i^m R_{Q_i})$. By Krull's intersection theorem applied to $R_{Q_i}$ we have that $\cap_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}(Q_i^m R_{Q_i}) = 0$. So $r \in \mathfrak{p}_i R_{Q_i} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}_i$, where the last equality follows since $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is primary (Proposition 4.8.ii, page 53, [1]).

Now, by Chevalley's theorem applied to $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$, there exists a function $b_i : \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}_{i,b_i(N)} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i + \mathfrak{m}^N$ for all positive integers $N$. Let $J_N = \mathfrak{q}_{1,b_1(N)} \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{q}_{n,b_n(N)}$. Then $J_N \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{m}^N) \cap ... \cap (\mathfrak{p}_n + \mathfrak{m}^N)$. We claim that $J_N \subseteq I + \mathfrak{m}^{N-c}$ for $N \gg 0$ and some positive integer $c < N$. We prove the claim by induction on $n$. Suppose that $r \in (\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{m}^N) \cap (\mathfrak{p}_2 + \mathfrak{m}^N)$. Then we can write that $r = p_1 + m_1 = p_2 + m_2$ for some $p_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \mathfrak{m}^N$. Then $m_1 - m_2 = p_2 - p_1 \in \mathfrak{m}^N \cap (\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2)$. By the Artin-Rees lemma, there exists a positive integer $c_{12}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^N \cap (\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2) = \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}(\mathfrak{m}^{c_{12}} \cap (\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2)) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}(\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2) = \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}\mathfrak{p}_2$. So $p_2 - p_1 \in \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}\mathfrak{p}_2$. Write $p_2 - p_1 = m_1^{'} + m_2^{'}$, where $m_i^{'} \in \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}\mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $p_1 + m_1^{'} = p_2 - m_2^{'}$. Note that the left hand side of this equation is an element of $\mathfrak{p}_1$ and the right hand side is an element of $\mathfrak{p}_2$. Thus, each side is an element of $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2$. Now $r = p_1 + m_1 = (p_1 + m_1^{'}) - (m_1^{'} - m_1) \in (\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2) + \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}$. Thus, $(\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{m}^N) \cap (\mathfrak{p}_2 + \mathfrak{m}^N) \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2) + \mathfrak{m}^{N-c_{12}}$ for $N \geq c_{12}$. Proceeding inductively, we can show that there exists a positive integer $c$ such that for $N \geq c$, $(\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{m}^N) \cap ... \cap (\mathfrak{p}_n + \mathfrak{m}^N) \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{p}_n) + \mathfrak{m}^{N-c}$. Consequently, $J_N \subseteq I + \mathfrak{m}^{N-c}$. Fix one such $N \gg c$ and set $J = J_N$.

By construction, $\dim(R/J) < \dim(R/I)$. Choose $u \in I^{(2)}$. Then there exists $v \in R$ such that $v$ is not contained in any minimal prime of $I$ and $uv \in I^2$. Since for all associated primes $Q_i$ of $J$, $Q_i \subseteq P_i + \mathfrak{m}^t$, by choosing $t \gg 0$, we can ensure that $v$ is not contained in any minimal prime of $J$. Then $vu \in J^2$ and $u \in J^{(2)}$. Further, if $u \notin \mathfrak{m}I$, we claim that $u \notin \mathfrak{m}J$. Suppose that $u \in \mathfrak{m}J$. Then, by the preceding paragraph, $u \in \mathfrak{m}(I + \mathfrak{m}^{N-c}) = \mathfrak{m}I + \mathfrak{m}^{N+1-c}$. Write $u = v + w$, where $v \in \mathfrak{m}I$ and $w \in \mathfrak{m}^{N+1-c}$. Since $u \in I^{(2)} \subseteq I$ and $v \in \mathfrak{m}I \subseteq I$, $w \in I$. So $w \in \mathfrak{m}^{N+1-c} \cap I$. By the Artin-Rees lemma there exists a positive integer $c^{'}$ such that for $N + 1 - c \geq c^{'}$, $\mathfrak{m}^{N+1-c} \cap I = \mathfrak{m}^{N+1-c-c^{'}}(\mathfrak{m}^{c^{'}} \cap I) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{N+1-c-c^{'}}I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}I$. Thus, for $N \gg 0$, $u = v + w \in \mathfrak{m}I$, which contradicts the choice of $u$. Hence, $u \in \mathfrak{m}J$. This proves the first assertion in the proposition.

If $I$ is height unmixed, then, all associated prime ideals of $I$ are minimal and have the same height. By choice of $Q_i$ all associated prime ideals of $J$ will also have the same height, which is 1 higher than the height of $I$. So $J$ is height unmixed. If $I$ is radical, we choose the primary decomposition of $I$ as an intersection of it's minimal primes and choose the primary decomposition of $J$ as the intersection of the minimal $Q_i$. So $J$ is radical and by the arguments in the preceding paragraph we obtain the desired conclusion. □

We will now prove the last result referenced in Note 2.2.

Let $S = k[[t, x_1, ..., x_m]]$, where $k$ is a field and $P$ is a prime ideal in $S$ such that $\dim(S/P) = 1$. Since $S/P$ is a one dimensional Noetherian complete local domain, its integral closure, $\overline{S/P}$, is a one dimensional normal Noetherian complete local domain (Theorem 2.2.5, page 31 and Theorem 4.3.4, page 62, [7]) and hence regular (Theorem 14.1, page 198, [8]). Thus, we can identify, $\overline{S/P} = k[[y]]$ for some indeterminate $y$ (Theorem 15, [2]). Consequently, we have an inclusion $F : S/P \hookrightarrow k[[y]]$. Under this inclusion, let $t \mapsto y^n u$ and $x_i \mapsto g_i(y)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, where $n$ is a positive integer and $u$ is a unit in $k[[y]]$. Write $u = u_0 + f(y)$, where $f(y)$ is a power series in $y$ with no constant term and $u_0 \in k$. Suppose that $u_0$ has an $n$th root in $k$ (in particular, this is true if $k$ is algebraically closed and $n$ is invertible in $k$).

**Proposition 2.8.** *With the notation as in the preceding paragraph, let* $R = k[[t^{\frac{1}{n}}, x_1, ..., x_m]]$ *and let* $Q = (x_1 - f_1(t^{\frac{1}{n}}), ..., x_m - f_m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}))R$. *Then* $P = Q \cap S$.

*Proof.* Now $u$ has an $n$th root in $k[[y]]$, say, $v^n = u$. Consider the automorphism $V : k[[y]] \to k[[y]]$ given by $V(y) = yv^{-1}$. Then we have an injective map $V \circ F : S/P \hookrightarrow k[[y]]$, where $t \mapsto y^n$ and $x_i \mapsto g_i(yv^{-1})$. Set $f_i(y) := g_i(yv^{-1})$. This induces a surjective map $G : R = k[[t^{\frac{1}{n}}, x_1, ..., x_m]] \to k[[y]]$, where $t^{\frac{1}{n}} \mapsto y$ and $x_i \mapsto f_i(y)$. Suppose that the kernel of $G$ is $Q$. Note that $Q$ is prime in $R$ since $k[[y]]$ is a domain. Further, since the restriction of $G$ to $S$ is the map sending $t \mapsto y^n$ and $x_i \mapsto f_i(y)$, the kernel of $G|_S$ is $P$. Thus, $Q \cap S = P$. Finally, we have that $Q = (x_1 - f_1(t^{\frac{1}{n}}), ..., x_m - f_m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}))R$ since this ideal is clearly in the kernel of $G$ by definition and the quotient of $R$ modulo this ideal is precisely $k[[t]]$. This proves our claim. □

In particular, we have shown that if $S = k[[t, x_1, ..., x_m]]$, where $k$ is a characteristic 0 algebraically closed field and $P$ is a prime in $S$ with $\dim(S/P) = 1$, then, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that for $R = k[[t^{\frac{1}{n}}, x_1, ..., x_m]]$, there exists a prime ideal $Q = (x_1 - f_1(t^{\frac{1}{n}}), ..., x_m - f_m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}))R$ that contracts to $P$ in $S$.

## 2.1 Problem set-up

Let $R = k[[t, x_1, ..., x_m]]$ be the formal power series ring over a field $k$ of characteristic 0 in $m + 1$ indeterminates. Then the fraction field of $R$, say $K$, is $k((t, x_1, ..., x_m))$, the ring of formal Laurent series over the same indeterminates. Let $n > 1$ be a positive integer and let $S = k[[t^n, x_1, ..., x_m]]$, where

$n > 1$ is a positive integer. The fraction field of $S$ can be identified with $L = k((t^n, x_1, ..., x_m))$. Note that that the integral closure of $S$ in $L$ is $R$.

Now assume that $k$ is algebraically closed if $n > 2$ . Then $K/L$ is a Galois extension. Consider the automorphisms $\sigma_j$ of $K$, where $\sigma_j(t) = \zeta^{j-1}t$ for $j = 1, ..., n$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity and $\sigma_j(x_i) = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Any automorphism of $K$ that fixes $L$ must fix each $x_i$ and must map an $n$th root of $t^n$ to another $n$th root of $t^n$ and hence must map $t$ to $\zeta^{j-1}t$ for some $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Thus, the Galois group $G$ of $K/L$ is $\{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n\}$.

Consider any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $S$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{Q} = \{\mathfrak{q}_1, ..., \mathfrak{q}_l\}$ is the set of prime ideals of $R$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. Then $G$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{Q}$ (Proposition VII.2.1, page 340, [10]).

Now let $f_1(t), ..., f_m(t) \in k[[t]]$ and let $Q_1 = (x_1 - f_1(t), ..., x_m - f_m(t))R$. Then $Q_1$ is a prime ideal as $R/Q_1 = k[[t]]$, which is a domain. If $P = Q_1 \cap S$, the set of primes lying over $P$ are $Q_j = (x_1 - f_1(\zeta^{j-1}t), ..., x_m - f_m(\zeta^{j-1}t))R$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ since $G$ acts transitively on the set of primes lying over $P$. It follows that $Q_j \cap S = P = (Q_1 \cap S) \cap ... \cap (Q_n \cap S) = (Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n) \cap S$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

To compute the generators of $P$, we will make use of the *normalized trace map* $\mathrm{tr} : L \to K$, where for $a \in L$ we have that

$$\mathrm{tr(a)} = \frac{1}{n}(\sigma_1(a) + ... + \sigma_n(a)).$$

Note that by the above discussion, since $G$ acts transitively on the set $\{Q_1, ..., Q_n\}$, $\sigma_j$ stabilizes $Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n$ for $j = 1, ..., n$ and thus, $\mathrm{tr}(Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n) \subseteq Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n$. Further, since $\mathrm{tr}(\cdot)|_R$ has range $S$, $\mathrm{tr}(Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n) \subseteq S$. Consequently, we have that $\mathrm{tr}(Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n) = (Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n) \cap S = P$.

We are now ready to show that under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 (which is a special case of the above set up for $n = 2$), $P^{(2)} \subseteq (Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S$.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let $k$ be a field (not necessarily of characteristic 0), $R = k[[t, x_1, ..., x_m]]$, $S = k[[t^n, x_1, ..., x_m]]$. Let $f_1(t), ..., f_m(t) \in k[[t]]$. If $n > 2$, assume that $k$ is algebraically closed and let $Q_j = (x_1 - f_1(\zeta^{j-1}t), ..., x_m - f_m(\zeta^{j-1}t))R$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity and $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Then $P^{(l)} \subseteq (Q_1^{l_1} \cap ... \cap Q_n^{l_n}) \cap S$, where $P = (Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n) \cap S$ and $l \geq max\{l_1, ..., l_n\}$.*

*Proof.* The sequences $X_j = x_1 - f_1(\zeta^{j-1}t), ..., x_m - f_m(\zeta^{j-1}t)$ are regular sequences in $R$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, since $R/(x_1 - f_1(\zeta^{j-1}t), ..., x_i - f_i(\zeta^{j-1}t))R \cong k[[t, x_{i+1}, ..., x_m]]$. Being a domain, the latter ring has no non-zero zero-divisors and the class of $x_{i+1} - f_{i+1}(\zeta^{j-1}t)$ is not zero in this ring for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$. Also, by the same token, the ideals $Q_j$ are prime for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then we have that $Q_j^{(r)} = Q_j^r$ for every positive integer $r$ (result 2.1, [4]). Thus, the ideals $Q_j^r$ are primary for every positive integer $r$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Now the contraction of a primary ideal is primary (Proposition 4.8, page 53, [1]). Consequently, the ideals $Q_j^r \cap S$ are primary in $S$.

Now $\sqrt{Q_j^r \cap S} = \sqrt{Q_j^r} \cap S = Q_j \cap S = P$ (exercise 1.13, page 9 and exercise 1.18 page 10, [1]). Thus, the ideals $Q_j^{l_j} \cap S$ are all $P$-primary. Hence $(Q_1^{l_1} \cap$

$... \cap Q_n^{l_n}) \cap S$ is $P$-primary (lemma 4.3, page 51, [1]). Further, $P^l = ((Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n) \cap S)^l \subseteq (Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n)^l \cap S \subseteq (Q_1^l \cap ... \cap Q_n^l) \cap S \subseteq (Q_1^{l_1} \cap ... \cap Q_n^{l_n}) \cap S$. Let $\mathfrak{q} = (Q_1^{l_1} \cap ... \cap Q_n^{l_n}) \cap S$.

Finally, for any irredundant primary decomposition of $P^l$, the $P$-primary ideal that must be used is $P^{(l)}$. Suppose that $P^l = P^{(l)} \cap P_1 \cap ... \cap P_r$ be an irredundant primary decomposition, where the $P_1, ..., P_r$ are primary ideals. Then $\sqrt{P_1}, ..., \sqrt{P_r}$ are all distinct and are distinct from $P$. Further, $P^{(l)} \not\supseteq \cap_{i=1}^r P_i$ and $P_{i'} \not\supseteq P^{(l)} \cap_{i=1, i\neq i'}^r P_i$ for $1 \leq i' \leq r$. We claim that $P^l = (P^{(l)} \cap \mathfrak{q}) \cap P_1 \cap P_2 \cap ... \cap P_r$ is also an irredundant primary decomposition (note that $P^l = P^l \cap \mathfrak{q}$ as $P^l \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ by the preceding paragraph). For $P^{(l)}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ are both $P$-primary and hence so is $P^{(l)} \cap \mathfrak{q}$. So the radicals of all ideals appearing in the decomposition are all distinct. Also, $P^{(l)} \cap \mathfrak{q} \not\supseteq \cap_{i=1}^r P_i$ follows from $P^{(l)} \not\supseteq \cap_{i=1}^r P_i$. Suppose that $P_{i'} \supseteq (P^{(l)} \cap \mathfrak{q}) \cap_{i=1, i\neq i'}^r P_i = (P^{(l)} \cap_{i=1, i\neq i'}^r P_i) \cap \mathfrak{q}$. Then, since $P_{i'} \not\supseteq P^{(l)} \cap_{i=1, i\neq i'}^r P_i$, we must have $P_{i'} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$ (Proposition 1.11.(ii), page 8, [1]). However, taking radicals, we get that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = P \subseteq \sqrt{P_{i'}} = P_{i'}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $P_{i'} \not\supseteq (P^{(l)} \cap \mathfrak{q}) \cap_{i=1, i\neq i'}^r P_i$. So the new primary decomposition is indeed irredundant. Now, since the $P$-primary component in any primary decomposition of $P^l$ must be $P^{(l)}$, we have that $P^{(l)} = P^{(l)} \cap \mathfrak{q}$. So $P^{(l)} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} = (Q_1^{l_1} \cap ... \cap Q_n^{l_n}) \cap S$. □

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let $k$ be a field (not necessarily of characteristic 0, $R = k[[t, x_1, ..., x_m]]$, $S = k[[t^2, x_1, ..., x_m]]$. Let $f_1(t), ..., f_m(t) \in k[[t]]$. Let $Q_1 = (x_1 - f_1(t), ..., x_m - f_m(t))R$ and $Q_2 = (x_1 - f_1(-t), ..., x_m - f_m(-t))R$. Then $P^{(2)} \subseteq (Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S$, where $P = (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \cap S$.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.9 with $n = 2$, $l_0 = l_1 = l = 2$. □

We will prove the stronger containment $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$ in the next sections, which will imply the Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture in this case by corollary 2.10.

## 2.2 Computing generators of $P$

Let the notation be as in the statement of Theorem 2.1. We may assume that at least one of the power series $f_1(t), ..., f_m(t)$ is not in $S$. Otherwise, $f_i(t) = f_i(-t)$ for $i = 1, ..., m$ (since $t^2 \in S$) and

$$Q_2 = (x_1 - f_1(-t), ..., x_m - f_m(-t))R = (x_1 - f_1(t), ..., x_m - f_m(t))R = Q_1.$$

Thus, $P = Q_1 \cap S = (x_1 - f_1(t), ..., x_m - f_m(t))S$ is a complete intersection. Then, $P^{(2)} = P^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$ (Result 2.1, [4]).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $(f_1(t) - f_1(-t)) | (f_i(t) - f_i(-t))$ and set $g_i(t) = \frac{(f_i(t) - f_i(-t))}{(f_1(t) - f_1(-t))}$ for $i = 1, ..., m$ (else we may renumber so that the leading term of $f_1(t) - f_1(-t)$ has the least non-zero degree). Set $a_i = x_i - f_i(t)$ and $b_i = x_i - f_i(-t)$ for $i = 1, ..., m$.

**Proposition 2.11.** *With the notation as in the preceding paragraph,* $P = (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \cap S = (\{(-b_i + b_1 g_i(t)) : i = 2, ..., m\})S + (\{tr((x_i - f_i(t))(x_j - f_j(-t))) : i, j \in \{1, ..., m\}\})S$.

*Proof.* We have that $b_i - a_i = f_i(t) - f_i(-t) = (f_1(t) - f_1(-t))g_i(t) = (b_1 - a_1)g_i(t)$ for $i = 1, ..., m$.

Let $u \in Q_1 \cap Q_2$. Then, for some $r_i, s_i \in R$, we may write that $u = \Sigma_{i=1}^m r_i a_i = \Sigma_{i=1}^m s_i b_i = \Sigma_{i=1}^m s_i(a_i + (b_i - a_i)) = \Sigma_{i=1}^m s_i(a_i + (b_1 - a_1)g_i(t))$. Hence, $\Sigma_{i=1}^m (r_i - s_i)a_i = (b_1 - a_1)\Sigma_{i=1}^m s_i g_i(t)$. Note the left hand side of the last equation lies in $Q_1$.

Thus, elements of $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ are determined by elements $s_i \in R$ such that $\Sigma_{i=1}^n s_i g_i(t) \in Q_1 : (b_1 - a_1)$, as given any $s_i$ satisfying this condition, we may determine the $r_i$ from the preceding equation, thus obtaining an element of $Q_1 \cap Q_2$.

Since $Q_1$ is a prime and $(b_1 - a_1) \notin Q_1$, we have that $Q_1 : (b_1 - a_1) = Q_1$. So elements of $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ are determined by elements $s_i \in R$ such that $\Sigma_{i=1}^m s_i g_i(t) \in Q_1$. Modulo $Q_1$, these are the preimages of the elements defining the relations between $g_i(t)$ in $R/Q_1 = k[[t]]$. Thus, $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is generated by elements $\Sigma_{i=1}^m s_i b_i$, where $\Sigma_{i=1}^m s_i g_i(t)$ represents the zero element in $R/Q_1$.

Given elements $w_1, ..., w_d \in k[[t]]$ we define a *relation* among these elements to be a $d$-tuple $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d) \in k[[t]]^d$ such that $\alpha_1 w_1 + ... + \alpha_d w_d = 0$. The set of such elements is a submodule of $k[[t]]^n$, which we shall call the *module of relations.* Note that since $k[[t]]$ is a principal ideal domain, the module of relations is a free $k[[t]]$-module. Now the module of relations between the $g_i(t)$ in $R/Q_1 = k[[t]]$ is generated by the following $m$-tuples (note that $g_1(t) = 1$):

$$(g_i(t), 0, 0, ..., \underbrace{-1}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ place}}, 0, 0, ..., 0) \text{ (where } i = 2, ...., m).$$

Let, $h_i = -b_i + b_1 g_i(t) = -a_i + a_1 g_i(t) \in Q_1 \cap Q_2$ (where the equality follows from $b_i - a_i = (b_1 - a_1)g_i(t)$). The set of $m$-tuples above correspond to the elements $g_i(t)b_1 + 0b_2 + 0b_3 + ... + (-1)b_i + 0b_{i+1} + 0b_{i+2} + ... + 0b_m = b_1 g_i(t) - b_i = h_i$ in $Q_1 \cap Q_2$. Thus, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = (h_2, h_3, ..., h_m)R + Q_1 Q_2$.

Now we compute $(Q_1 \cap Q_2) \cap S = \text{tr}(Q_1 \cap Q_2)$. We have that $\text{tr}(h_i) = \text{tr}(-b_i + b_1 g_i(t)) = \text{tr}(-x_i + f_i(-t) + (x_1 - f_1(-t))g_i(t))$. Now $g_i(-t) = \frac{(f_i(-t) - f_i(t))}{(f_1(-t) - f_1(t))} = \frac{(f_i(t) - f_i(-t))}{(f_1(t) - f_1(-t))} = g_i(t)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(h_i) &= \frac{1}{2}((-x_i + f_i(-t) + (x_1 - f_1(-t))g_i(t))) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}((-x_i + f_i(t) + (x_1 - f_1(t))g_i(t))) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}((-b_i + b_1 g_i(t)) + (-a_i + a_1 g_i(t))) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(2(-b_i + b_1 g_i(t))) \\
&= -b_i + b_1 g_i(t) \\
&= h_i
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have that $Q_1Q_2 = (\{(x_i - f_i(t))(x_j - f_j(-t)) : i,j \in \{1,...,m\}\})R$. Therefore, $(P = (h_2, h_3, ..., h_m)S + (\{\text{tr}((x_i - f_i(t))(x_j - f_j(-t))) : i,j \in \{1,...,m\}\})S$. □

## 2.3 Computing generators of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ (special case)

Again, assume the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1. For the purpose of computing generators of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ we show that it is sufficient to consider power series $f_1(t), ..., f_m(t)$ containing only odd powers of $t$, *i.e.,* those $f_i(t)$ that satisfy $f_i(-t) = -f_i(t)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose that $f_i(t) = \Sigma_{h=0}^{\infty} a_{i,h}t^h$. Then we may write that $f_i(t) = \Sigma_{h=0}^{\infty} a_{i,2h}t^{2h} + \Sigma_{h=0}^{\infty} a_{i,2h+1}t^{2h+1}$. Set $f_{i,e} = \Sigma_{h=0}^{\infty} a_{i,2h}t^{2h}$ and $f_{i,o} = \Sigma_{h=0}^{\infty} a_{i,2h+1}t^{2h+1}$ for the even and odd parts of $f_i$ respectively. Consider the automorphism $\sigma$ of $R$: $\sigma(x_i) = x_i - f_{i,e}(t)$ and $\sigma(t) = t$. We have that $Q_1 = (x_1 - f_1(t), ..., x_m - f_m(t))R = (x_1 - f_{1,e}(t) - f_{1,o}(t), ..., x_m - f_{m,e}(t) - f_{m,o}(t))R$. So that $\sigma(Q_1) = (x_1 - f_{1,o}(t), x_2 - f_{2,o}(t), ..., x_m - f_{m,o}(t))R$. Similarly, we have that $Q_2 = (x_1 - f_1(-t), ..., x_m - f_m(-t))R = (x_1 - f_{1,e}(t) + f_{1,o}(t), ..., x_m - f_{m,e}(t) + f_{m,o}(t))R$. So that $\sigma(Q_2) = (x_1 + f_{1,o}(t), x_2 + f_{2,o}(t), ..., x_m + f_{m,o}(t))R$. So the problem reduces to the case where $f_i(t)$ are odd power series.

We rewrite the result of Proposition 2.11 under this reduction. We have that $g_i(t) = \frac{(f_i(t) - f_i(-t))}{(f_1(t) - f_1(-t))} = \frac{f_i(t)}{f_1(t)}$. Also, $-b_i + b_1g_i(t) = -(x_i + f_i(t)) + (x_1 + f_1(t))g_i(t) = -x_i - f_i(t) + x_1g_i(t) + f_i(t) = -x_i + x_1g_i(t)$. Thus, $P = (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \cap S = (\{(-b_i + b_1g_i(t)) : i = 2, ..., m\})S + (\{\text{tr}((x_i - f_i(t))(x_j - f_j(-t))) : i,j \in \{1,...,m\}\})S = (\{(-x_i + x_1g_i(t)) : i = 2, ..., m\})S + (\{(x_ix_j - f_i(t)f_j(t)) : i,j \in \{1,...,m\}\})S$.

For the sake of notational sanity we first illustrate the method for the case when $m = 3$ and discuss the generalization after that.

We have that $Q_1 = (x_1 - f_1(t), x_2 - f_2(t), x_3 - f_3(t))R$ and $Q_2 = (x_1 + f_1(t), x_2 + f_2(t), x_3 + f_3(t))R$. Denote $f_i(t) = f_i$ , $a_i = x_i - f_i$ and $b_i = x_i + f_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then $Q_1^2 = (a_1^2, a_2^2, a_3^2, a_2a_3, a_1a_3, a_1a_2)R$ and $Q_2^2 = (b_1^2, b_2^2, b_3^2, b_2b_3, b_1b_3, b_1b_2)R$. If $u \in Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$, then, we can write that $u = r_1a_1^2 + r_2a_2^2 + r_3a_3^2 + s_1a_2a_3 + s_2a_1a_3 + s_3a_1a_2$ for some $r_h, s_h \in R$, $h \in \{1,2,3\}$. Similarly, $u = r_1'b_1^2 + r_2'b_2^2 + r_3'b_3^2 + s_1'b_2b_3 + s_2'b_1b_3 + s_3'b_1b_2$ for some $r_{h}', s_h' \in R$, $h \in \{1,2,3\}$. Now $b_h^2 - a_{h}^2 = 4x_if_i$ and $b_hb_{h'} - a_ha_{h'} = 2x_hf_h + 2x_{h'}f_{h'}$ with $h, h' \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $h \neq h'$. Equating the two expressions for $u$, we have that $(r_1 - r_1')a_1^2 + (r_2 - r_2')a_2^2 + (r_3 - r_3')a_3^2 + (s_1 - s_1')a_2a_3 + (s_2 - s_2')a_1a_3 + (s_3 - s_3')a_1a_2 = r_1'(4x_1f_1) + r_2'(4x_2f_2) + r_3'(4x_3f_3) + s_1'(2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2) + s_2'(2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1) + s_3'(2x_1f_2 + 2x_2f_1)$. The left hand side of this equation lies in $Q_1^2$, hence so does the right hand side. The set of coefficients $\{r_1', r_2', r_3', s_1', s_2', s_3'\}$, which determine elements of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ are completely determined by the relations on $\{4x_1f_1, 4x_2f_2, 4x_3f_3, 2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2, 2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1, 2x_1f_2 + 2x_2f_1\}$ over $R/Q_1^2$ along with any 6-tuple of elements in $Q_1^2$. We now proceed to find the relations on $\{4x_1f_1, 4x_2f_2, 4x_3f_3, 2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2, 2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1, 2x_1f_2 + 2x_2f_1\}$ over $R/Q_1^2$.

In $R/Q_1^2$ we have the following equations

$$x_1^2 = 2x_1f_1 - f_1^2, x_2^2 = 2x_2f_2 - f_2^2, x_3^2 = 2x_3f_3 - f_3^2 \tag{2.1}$$

(2.2)
$x_1x_2 = x_1f_2+x_2f_1-f_1f_2, x_2x_3 = x_2f_3+x_3f_2-f_2f_3, x_1x_3 = x_1f_3+x_3f_1-f_1f_3$

Thus, any element of $R/Q_1^2$ can be represented as $F_0(t)+F_1(t)x_1+F_2(t)x_2+F_3(t)x_3$, where $F_i(t) \in k[[t]]$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Thus, there is a one-one correspondence between the relations on $\{4x_1f_1, 4x_2f_2, 4x_3f_3, 2x_2f_3+2x_3f_2, 2x_1f_3+2x_3f_1, 2x_1f_2+2x_2f_1\}$ over $R/Q_1^2$ and the relations between

$$\begin{aligned} E = \{&4x_1f_1, (4x_1f_1)x_1, (4x_1f_1)x_2, (4x_1f_1)x_3,\\ &4x_2f_2, (4x_2f_2)x_1, (4x_2f_2)x_2, (4x_2f_2)x_3,\\ &4x_3f_3, (4x_3f_3)x_1, (4x_3f_3)x_2, (4x_3f_3)x_3,\\ &2x_2f_3+2x_3f_2, (2x_2f_3+2x_3f_2)x_1, (2x_2f_3+2x_3f_2)x_2, (2x_2f_3+2x_3f_2)x_3,\\ &2x_1f_3+2x_3f_1, (2x_1f_3+2x_3f_1)x_1, (2x_1f_3+2x_3f_1)x_2, (2x_1f_3+2x_3f_1)x_3,\\ &2x_1f_2+2x_2f_1, (2x_1f_2+2x_2f_1)x_1, (2x_1f_2+2x_2f_1)x_2, (2x_1f_2+2x_2f_1)x_3\} \end{aligned}$$

over $k[[t]]$. We rewrite these elements as $k[[t]]$-linear combinations of $x_1, x_2, x_3$ and represent the coefficients of $x_1, x_2, x_3$ and the term independent of these in a matrix as follows. We abuse notation and denote the equivalence classes of elements in $R$ modulo $Q_1^2$ by the same symbols as the elements themselves.

1. $4x_1f_1$
   (a) $4x_1f_1 = 0 + 4f_1x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3$.
   (b) $(4x_1f_1)x_1 = 4f_1x_1^2 = 4f_1(2x_1f_1 - f_1^2) = -4f_1^3 + 8f_1^2x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3$.
   (c) $(4x_1f_1)x_2 = 4f_1x_1x_2 = 4f_1(x_1f_2+x_2f_1-f_1f_2) = -4f_1^2f_2+4f_1f_2x_1+4f_1^2x_2+0x_3$.
   (d) $(4x_1f_1)x_3 = 4f_1x_1x_3 = 4f_1(x_1f_3+x_3f_1-f_1f_3) = -4f_1^2f_3+4f_1f_3x_1+0x_2+4f_1^2x_3$.

   We represent this data in the following matrix:

| coefficients in | $4x_1f_1$ | $(4x_1f_1)x_1$ | $(4x_1f_1)x_2$ | $(4x_1f_1)x_3$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| term independent of $x_1, x_2, x_3$ | $0$ | $-4f_1^3$ | $-4f_1^2f_2$ | $-4f_1^2f_3$ |
| coefficient of $x_1$ | $4f_1$ | $8f_1^2$ | $4f_1f_2$ | $4f_1f_3$ |
| coefficient of $x_2$ | $0$ | $0$ | $4f_1^2$ | $0$ |
| coefficient of $x_3$ | $0$ | $0$ | $0$ | $4f_1^2$ |

   We capture the above data in matrix $M_1$ below,

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4f_1^3 & -4f_1^2f_2 & -4f_1^2f_3 \\ 4f_1 & 8f_1^2 & 4f_1f_2 & 4f_1f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. $4x_2f_2$: We represent elements $4x_2f_2, (4x_2f_2)x_1, (4x_2f_2)x_2, (4x_2f_2)x_3$ as $k[[t]]$-linear combinations of $x_1, x_2, x_3$ and collect the coefficients in a matrix form in an analogous fashion. The associated matrix is

$$M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4f_1f_2^2 & -4f_2^3 & -4f_2^2f_3 \\ 0 & 4f_2^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 4f_2 & 4f_1f_2 & 8f_2^2 & 4f_2f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_2^2f_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. $4x_3f_3$: We repeat the above process for $4x_3f_3, (4x_3f_3)x_1, (4x_3f_3)x_2, (4x_3f_3)x_3$ and the associated matrix is

$$M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4f_1f_3^2 & -4f_2f_3^2 & -4f_3^3 \\ 0 & 4f_3^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4f_3^2 & 0 \\ 4f_3 & 4f_1f_3 & 4f_2f_3 & 8f_3^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. $2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2$:

   (a) $2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2 = 0 + 0x_1 + 2f_3x_2 + 2f_2x_3$.

   (b) $(2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2)x_1 = 2f_3x_1x_2 + 2f_2x_1x_3 = 2f_3(x_1f_2 + x_2f_1 - f_1f_2) + 2f_2(x_1f_3 + x_3f_1 - f_1f_3) = -4f_1f_2f_3 + 4f_2f_3x_1 + 2f_1f_3x_2 + 2f_1f_2x_3$.

   (c) $(2x_2f_3+2x_3f_2)x_2 = 2f_3x_2^2+2f_2x_2x_3 = 2f_3(2x_2f_2-f_2^2)+2f_2(x_2f_3+x_3f_2-f_2f_3) = -4f_2^2f_3 + 0x_1 + 6f_2f_3x_2 + 2f_2^2x_3$.

   (d) $(2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2)x_3 = 2f_3x_2x_3 + 2f_2x_3^2 = 2f_3(x_2f_3 + x_3f_2 - f_2f_3) + 2f_2(2x_3f_3 - f_3^2) = -4f_2f_3^2 + 0x_1 + 2f_3^2x_2 + 6f_2f_3x_3$.

   So the associated matrix is

$$M_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4f_1f_2f_3 & -4f_2^2f_3 & -4f_2f_3^2 \\ 0 & 4f_2f_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2f_3 & 2f_1f_3 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_3^2 \\ 2f_2 & 2f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 6f_2f_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. Working as in the preceding case, the associated matrix for $2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1, (2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1)x_1, (2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1)x_2, (2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1)x_3$ is

$$M_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4f_1^2f_3 & -4f_1f_2f_3 & -4f_1f_3^2 \\ 2f_3 & 6f_1f_3 & 2f_2f_3 & 2f_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_3 & 0 \\ 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 2f_1f_2 & 6f_1f_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

6. Finally, the associated matrix for $2x_1f_2+2x_2f_1, (2x_1f_2+2x_2f_1)x_1, (2x_1f_2+2x_2f_1)x_2, (2x_1f_2 + 2x_2f_1)x_3$ is

$$M_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4f_1^2f_2 & -4f_1f_2^2 & -4f_1f_2f_3 \\ 2f_2 & 6f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 2f_2f_3 \\ 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 6f_1f_2 & 2f_1f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now consider the matrix $M = [M_1|M_2|M_3|M_{23}|M_{13}|M_{23}]$.

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & -4f_1^3 & -4f_1^2f_2 & -4f_1^2f_3 & 0 & -4f_1f_2^2 & -4f_2^3 & -4f_2^2f_3 & 0 & -4f_1f_3^2 & -4f_2f_3^2 & -4f_3^3 \\
4f_1 & 8f_1^2 & 4f_1f_2 & 4f_1f_3 & 0 & 4f_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_3^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 4f_2 & 4f_1f_2 & 8f_2^2 & 4f_2f_3 & 0 & 0 & 4f_3^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_2^2 & 4f_3 & 4f_1f_3 & 4f_2f_3 & 8f_3^2
\end{array}\right.$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & -4f_1f_2f_3 & -4f_2^2f_3 & -4f_2f_3^2 & 0 & -4f_1^2f_3 & -4f_1f_2f_3 & -4f_1f_3^2 & 0 & -4f_1^2f_2 & -4f_1f_2^2 & -4f_1f_2f_3 \\
0 & 4f_2f_3 & 0 & 0 & 2f_3 & 6f_1f_3 & 2f_2f_3 & 2f_3^2 & 2f_2 & 6f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 2f_2f_3 \\
2f_3 & 2f_1f_3 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_3^2 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_3 & 0 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 6f_1f_2 & 2f_1f_3 \\
2f_2 & 2f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 2f_1f_2 & 6f_1f_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_2
\end{array}\right].$$

Each column of $M$ encodes the $k[[t]]$-coefficients of the elements of $E$. We will compute the $k[[t]]$-relations on the columns of matrix $M$ and these will correspond to the $k[[t]]$-relations on the elements of $E$. From these we can recover the relations $\{4x_1f_1, 4x_2f_2, 4x_3f_3, 2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2, 2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1, 2x_1f_2 + 2x_2f_1\}$ over $R/Q_1^2$ .

Multiplying the first row of $M$ by $-1$, we get the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 4f_1^3 & 4f_1^2f_2 & 4f_1^2f_3 & 0 & 4f_1f_2^2 & 4f_2^3 & 4f_2^2f_3 & 0 & 4f_1f_3^2 & 4f_2f_3^2 & 4f_3^3 \\
4f_1 & 8f_1^2 & 4f_1f_2 & 4f_1f_3 & 0 & 4f_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_3^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 4f_2 & 4f_1f_2 & 8f_2^2 & 4f_2f_3 & 0 & 0 & 4f_3^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_2^2 & 4f_3 & 4f_1f_3 & 4f_2f_3 & 8f_3^2
\end{array}\right.$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 4f_1f_2f_3 & 4f_2^2f_3 & 4f_2f_3^2 & 0 & 4f_1^2f_3 & 4f_1f_2f_3 & 4f_1f_3^2 & 0 & 4f_1^2f_2 & 4f_1f_2^2 & 4f_1f_2f_3 \\
0 & 4f_2f_3 & 0 & 0 & 2f_3 & 6f_1f_3 & 2f_2f_3 & 2f_3^2 & 2f_2 & 6f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 2f_2f_3 \\
2f_3 & 2f_1f_3 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_3^2 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_3 & 0 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 6f_1f_2 & 2f_1f_3 \\
2f_2 & 2f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 2f_1f_2 & 6f_1f_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_2
\end{array}\right].$$

Using notation introduced earlier, we write that $f_2 = f_1g_2$ and $f_3 = f_1g_3$. Denote the $i$th column of the matrix under consideration by $C_i$. We perform the following column operations on the preceding matrix:

$C_2 - 2f_1C_1$, $C_3 - f_2C_1$, $C_4 - f_3C_1$, $C_6 - f_1g_2^2C_1$, $C_{10} - f_1g_3^2C_1$, $C_{14} - f_1g_2g_3C_1$, $C_{17} - \frac{1}{2}g_3C_1$, $C_{18} - \frac{3}{2}f_3C_1$, $C_{19} - \frac{1}{2}f_1g_2g_3C_1$, $C_{20} - \frac{1}{2}f_1g_3^2C_1$, $C_{21} - \frac{1}{2}g_2C_1$, $C_{22} - \frac{3}{2}f_2C_1$, $C_{23} - \frac{1}{2}f_1g_2^2C_1$, $C_{24} - \frac{1}{2}f_1g_2g_3C_1$. We get the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 4f_1^3 & 4f_1^2f_2 & 4f_1^2f_3 & 0 & 4f_1f_2^2 & 4f_2^3 & 4f_2^2f_3 & 0 & 4f_1f_3^2 & 4f_2f_3^2 & 4f_3^3 \\
4f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 4f_2 & 4f_1f_2 & 8f_2^2 & 4f_2f_3 & 0 & 0 & 4f_3^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_2^2 & 4f_3 & 4f_1f_3 & 4f_2f_3 & 8f_3^2
\end{array}\right.$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 4f_1f_2f_3 & 4f_2^2f_3 & 4f_2f_3^2 & 0 & 4f_1^2f_3 & 4f_1f_2f_3 & 4f_1f_3^2 & 0 & 4f_1^2f_2 & 4f_1f_2^2 & 4f_1f_2f_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2f_3 & 2f_1f_3 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_3^2 & 0 & 0 & 6f_1f_3 & 0 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 6f_1f_2 & 2f_1f_3 \\
2f_2 & 2f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 2f_1f_2 & 6f_1f_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_2
\end{array}\right].$$

We further perform the following column operations on the preceding matrix:

$C_3 - g_2C_2$, $C_4 - g_3C_2$, $C_6 - g_2^2C_2$, $C_7 - g_2^3C_2$, $C_8 - g_2^2g_3C_2$, $C_{10} - g_3^2C_2$, $C_{11} - g_2g_3^2C_2$, $C_{12} - g_3^3C_2$, $C_{14} - g_2g_3C_2$, $C_{15} - g_2^2g_3C_2$, $C_{16} - g_2g_3^2C_2$, $C_{18} - g_3C_2$, $C_{19} - g_2g_3C_2$, $C_{20} - g_3^2C_2$, $C_{22} - g_2C_2$, $C_{23} - g_2^2C_2$, $C_{24} - g_2g_3C_2$. We get the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 4f_1^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
4f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 4f_2 & 4f_1f_2 & 8f_2^2 & 4f_2f_3 & 0 & 0 & 4f_3^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_2^2 & 4f_3 & 4f_1f_3 & 4f_2f_3 & 8f_3^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2f_3 & 2f_1f_3 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_3^2 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_3 & 0 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 6f_1f_2 & 2f_1f_3 \\
2f_2 & 2f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 2f_1f_2 & 6f_1f_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_2
\end{array}\right].$$

The following column operations are performed on the preceding matrix:

$C_3 - 2f_1C_{21}$, $C_5 - 2g_2C_{21}$, $C_6 - 2f_2C_{21}$, $C_7 - 4f_1g_2C_{21}$, $C_8 - 2f_1g_2g_3C_{21}$, $C_{11} - 2f_1g_3^2C_{21}$, $C_{13} - g_3C_{21}$, $C_{14} - f_3C_{21}$, $C_{15} - 3f_1g_2g_3C_{21}$, $C_{16} - f_1g_3^2C_{21}$, $C_{19} - 2f_3C_{21}$, $C_{22} - f_1C_{21}$, $C_{23} - 3f_2C_{21}$, $C_{24} - f_3C_{21}$. We get the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 4f_1^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
4f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_2^2 & 4f_3 & 4f_1f_3 & 4f_2f_3 & 8f_3^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2f_2 & 2f_1f_2 & 2f_2^2 & 6f_2f_3 & 2f_1 & 2f_1^2 & 2f_1f_2 & 6f_1f_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4f_1f_2
\end{array}\right].$$

Finally, the following column operations are performed on the preceding matrix:

$C_4 - 2f_1C_{17}$, $C_8 - 2f_1g_2^2C_{17}$, $C_9 - 2g_3C_{17}$, $C_{10} - 2f_3C_{17}$, $C_{11} - 2f_1g_2g_3C_{17}$, $C_{12} - 4f_1g_3^2C_{17}$, $C_{13} - g_2C_{17}$, $C_{14} - f_2C_{17}$, $C_{15} - f_1g_2^2C_{17}$, $C_{16} - 3f_1g_2g_3C_{17}$, $C_{18} - f_1C_{17}$, $C_{19} - f_2C_{17}$, $C_{20} - 3f_3C_{17}$, $C_{24} - 2f_2C_{17}$. We get the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccc}
0 & 4f_1^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
4f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2f_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2f_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right].$$

The columns with all entries zero correspond to the relations among the columns. A sage script to verfiy these relations is included in the ancillary files to this paper (verify_relations.sage). We list the relations here:

1. $C_3 + 2f_2C_1 - g_2C_2 - 2f_1C_{21} = 0$.
2. $C_4 + 2f_3C_1 - 2f_1C_{17} - g_3C_2 = 0$.
3. $C_5 - 2g_2C_{21} + g_2^2C_1 = 0$.
4. $C_6 + 2f_2g_2C_1 - g_2^2C_2 - 2f_2C_{21} = 0$.
5. $C_7 - g_2^3C_2 + 4f_1g_2^3C_1 - 4f_1g_2^2C_{21} = 0$.
6. $C_8 - g_2^2g_3C_2 + 4f_1g_2^2g_3C_1 - 2f_1g_2g_3C_{21} - 2f_1g_2^2C_{17} = 0$.
7. $C_9 - 2g_3C_{17} + g_3^2C_1 = 0$.

8. $C_{10} - g_3^2 C_2 + 2f_1 g_3^2 C_1 - 2f_3 C_{17} = 0.$
9. $C_{11} - g_2 g_3^2 C_2 + 4f_1 g_2 g_3^2 C_1 - 2f_1 g_3^2 C_{21} - 2f_1 g_2 g_3 C_{17} = 0.$
10. $C_{12} - g_3^3 C_2 - 4f_1 g_3^2 C_{17} + 4f_1 g_3^3 C_1 = 0.$
11. $C_{13} - g_3 C_{21} + g_2 g_3 C_1 - g_2 C_{17} = 0.$
12. $C_{14} - g_2 g_3 C_2 + 2f_1 g_2 g_3 C_1 - f_3 C_{21} - f_2 C_{17} = 0.$
13. $C_{15} - g_2^2 g_3 C_2 - 3f_1 g_2 g_3 C_{21} + 4f_1 g_2^2 g_3 C_1 - f_1 g_2^2 C_{17} = 0.$
14. $C_{16} - g_2 g_3^2 C_2 + 4f_1 g_2 g_3^2 C_1 - f_1 g_3^2 C_{21} - 3f_1 g_2 g_3 C_{17} = 0.$
15. $C_{18} - g_3 C_2 - f_1 C_{17} + f_3 C_1 = 0.$
16. $C_{19} + 3f_1 g_2 g_3 C_1 - g_2 g_3 C_2 - 2f_3 C_{21} - f_2 C_{17} = 0.$
17. $C_{20} + 3f_1 g_3^2 C_1 - g_3^2 C_2 - 3f_3 C_{17} = 0.$
18. $C_{22} - g_2 C_2 - f_1 C_{21} + f_1 g_2 C_1 = 0.$
19. $C_{23} + 3f_1 g_2^2 C_1 - g_2^2 C_2 - 3f_2 C_{21} = 0.$
20. $C_{24} - g_2 g_3 C_2 + 3f_1 g_2 g_3 C_1 - f_3 C_{21} - 2f_2 C_{17} = 0.$

Now consider the first relation $C_3 + 2f_2 C_1 - g_2 C_2 - 2f_1 C_{21} = 0$. The first column corresponds to coefficients of $4x_1 f_1$, the second corresponds to coefficients of $(4x_1 f_1)x_1$, the third corresponds to coefficients of $(4x_1 f_1)x_2$, and finally, the twenty-first column corresponds to coefficients of $2x_1 f_2 + 2x_2 f_1$. Now this relation over the columns corresponds to a relation on

$$\mathcal{E} = \{4x_1 f_1, 4x_2 f_2, 4x_3 f_3, 2x_2 f_3 + 2x_3 f_2, 2x_1 f_3 + 2x_3 f_1, 2x_1 f_2 + 2x_2 f_1\}$$

over $R/Q_1^2$. If $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$ is a relation, then,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i = &(\text{coefficient of column } (4(i-1)+1)) \\ &+ (\text{coefficient of column } (4(i-1)+2))x_1 \\ &+ (\text{coefficient of column } (4(i-1)+3))x_2 \\ &+ (\text{coefficient of column } 4i)x_3\end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$. The relation over $R/Q_1^2$ corresponding to the first column relation is then $(2f_2 - g_2 x_1 + x_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, -2f_1)$. Proceeding similarly, we get that the relations corresponding to all column relations. The set of columns of $M$ generate a submodule of $k[[t]]^{24}$ considered as a $k[[t]]$-module. Since $k[[t]]$ is a principal ideal domain, every submodule is in fact free. Therefore, the notion of rank is well defined. From the final step after performing the above column operations on $M$ we can see that $M$ has rank 4 and hence the twenty relations above generate the module of relations on $\{4x_1 f_1, 4x_2 f_2, 4x_3 f_3, 2x_2 f_3 + 2x_3 f_2, 2x_1 f_3 + 2x_3 f_1, 2x_1 f_2 + 2x_2 f_1\}$. We indicate the corresponding relations over $R/Q_1^2$ in the following table.

| Relation over the columns | Relation on $\mathcal{E}$ over $R/Q_1^2$ |
|---|---|
| $C_3 + 2f_2C_1 - g_2C_2 - 2f_1C_{21} = 0$ | $(2f_2 - g_2x_1 + x_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, -2f_1)$ |
| $C_4 + 2f_3C_1 - 2f_1C_{17} - g_3C_2 = 0$ | $(2f_3 - g_3x_1 + x_3, 0, 0, 0, -2f_1, 0)$ |
| $C_5 - 2g_2C_{21} + g_2^2C_1 = 0$ | $(g_2^2, 1, 0, 0, 0, -2g_2)$ |
| $C_6 + 2f_2g_2C_1 - g_2^2C_2 - 2f_2C_{21} = 0$ | $(2f_2g_2 - g_2^2x_1, x_1, 0, 0, 0, -2f_2)$ |
| $C_7 - g_2^3C_2 + 4f_1g_2^3C_1 - 4f_1g_2^2C_{21} = 0$ | $(4f_1g_2^3 - g_2^3x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, -4f_1g_2^2)$ |
| $C_8 - g_2^2g_3C_2 + 4f_1g_2^2g_3C_1 - 2f_1g_2g_3C_{21} - 2f_1g_2^2C_{17} = 0$ | $(4f_1g_2^2g_3 - g_2^2g_3x_1, x_3, 0, 0, -2f_1g_2^2, -2f_1g_2g_3)$ |
| $C_9 - 2g_3C_{17} + g_3^2C_1 = 0$ | $(g_3^2, 0, 1, 0, -2g_3, 0)$ |
| $C_{10} - g_3^2C_2 + 2f_1g_3^2C_1 - 2f_3C_{17} = 0$ | $(2f_1g_3^2 - g_3^3x_1, 0, x_1, 0, -2f_3, 0)$ |
| $C_{11} - g_2g_3^2C_2 + 4f_1g_2g_3^2C_1 - 2f_1g_3^2C_{21} - 2f_1g_2g_3C_{17} = 0$ | $(4f_1g_2g_3^2 - g_2g_3^2x_1, 0, x_2, 0 - 2f_1g_2g_3, -2f_1g_3^2)$ |
| $C_{12} - g_2^3C_2 - 4f_1g_3^2C_{17} + 4f_1g_3^3C_1 = 0$ | $(4f_1g_3^3 - g_3^3x_1, 0, x_3, 0, -4f_1g_3^2, 0)$ |
| $C_{13} - g_3C_{21} + g_2g_3C_1 - g_2C_{17} = 0$ | $(g_2g_3, 0, 0, 1, -g_2, -g_3)$ |
| $C_{14} - g_2g_3C_2 + 2f_1g_2g_3C_1 - f_3C_{21} - f_2C_{17} = 0$ | $(2f_1g_2g_3 - g_2g_3x_1, 0, 0, x_1, -f_2, -f_3)$ |
| $C_{15} - g_2^2g_3C_2 - 3f_1g_2g_3C_{21} + 4f_1g_2^2g_3C_1 - f_1g_2^2C_{17} = 0$ | $(4f_1g_2^2g_3 - g_2^2g_3x_1, 0, 0, x_2, -f_1g_2^2, -3f_1g_2g_3)$ |
| $C_{16} - g_2g_3^2C_2 + 4f_1g_2g_3^2C_1 - f_1g_3^2C_{21} - 3f_1g_2g_3C_{17} = 0$ | $(4f_1g_2g_3^2 - g_2g_3^2x_1, 0, 0, x_3, -3f_1g_2g_3, -f_1g_3^2)$ |
| $C_{18} - g_3C_2 - f_1C_{17} + f_3C_1 = 0$ | $(f_3 - g_3x_1, 0, 0, 0, -f_1 + x_1, 0)$ |
| $C_{19} + 3f_1g_2g_3C_1 - g_2g_3C_2 - 2f_3C_{21} - f_2C_{17} = 0$ | $(3f_1g_2g_3 - g_2g_3x_1, 0, 0, 0, -f_2 + x_2, -2f_3)$ |
| $C_{20} + 3f_1g_3^2C_1 - g_3^2C_2 - 3f_3C_{17} = 0$ | $(3f_1g_3^2 - g_3^2x_1, 0, 0, 0, -3f_3 + x_3, 0)$ |
| $C_{22} - g_2C_2 - f_1C_{21} + f_1g_2C_1 = 0$ | $(f_1g_2 - g_2x_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, x_1 - f_1)$ |
| $C_{23} + 3f_1g_2^2C_1 - g_2^2C_2 - 3f_2C_{21} = 0$ | $(3f_1g_2^2 - g_2^2x_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -3f_2 + x_2)$ |
| $C_{24} - g_2g_3C_2 + 3f_1g_2g_3C_1 - f_3C_{21} - 2f_2C_{17} = 0$ | $(3f_1g_2g_3 - g_2g_3x_1, 0, 0, 0, -2f_2, -f_3 + x_3)$ |

Now the relation $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$ corresponds to the generator of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ given by $\alpha_1(x_1+f_1)^2 + \alpha_2(x_2+f_2)^2 + \alpha_3(x_3+f_3)^2 + \alpha_4(x_2+f_2)(x_3+f_3) + \alpha_5(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) + \alpha_6(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$. Corresponding to the above relations we obtain generators of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ as follows:

1. $\gamma_1 = (2f_2 - g_2x_1 + x_2)(x_1+f_1)^2 - 2f_1(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.
2. $\gamma_2 = (2f_3 - g_3x_1 + x_3)(x_1+f_1)^2 - 2f_1(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3)$.
3. $\gamma_3 = g_2^2(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_2+f_2)^2 - 2g_2(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.
4. $\gamma_4 = (2f_2g_2 - g_2^2x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_1(x_2+f_2)^2 - 2f_2(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.
5. $\gamma_5 = (4f_1g_2^3 - g_2^3x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_2(x_2+f_2)^2 - 4f_1g_2^2(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.
6. $\gamma_6 = (4f_1g_2^2g_3 - g_2^2g_3x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_3(x_2+f_2)^2 - 2f_1g_2^2(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) - 2f_1g_2g_3(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.
7. $\gamma_7 = g_3^2(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_3+f_3)^2 - 2g_3(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3)$.
8. $\gamma_8 = (2f_1g_3^2 - g_3^2x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_1(x_3+f_3)^2 - 2f_3(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3)$.
9. $\gamma_9 = (4f_1g_2g_3^2 - g_2g_3^2x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_2(x_3+f_3)^2 - 2f_1g_2g_3(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) - 2f_1g_3^2(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

10. $\gamma_{10} = (4f_1g_3^3 - g_3^3x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_3(x_3+f_3)^2 - 4f_1g_3^2((x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3)$.

11. $\gamma_{11} = g_2g_3(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_2+f_2)(x_3+f_3) - g_2(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) - g_3(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

12. $\gamma_{12} = (2f_1g_2g_3 - g_2g_3x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_1(x_2+f_2)(x_3+f_3) - f_2(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) - f_3(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

13. $\gamma_{13} = (4f_1g_2^2g_3 - g_2^2g_3x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_2(x_2+f_2)(x_3+f_3) - f_1g_2^2(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) - 3f_1g_2g_3(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

14. $\gamma_{14} = (4f_1g_2g_3^2 - g_2g_3^2x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_3(x_2+f_2)(x_3+f_3) - 3f_1g_2g_3(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) - f_1g_3^2((x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

15. $\gamma_{15} = (f_3 - g_3x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_1-f_1)(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3)$.

16. $\gamma_{16} = (3f_1g_2g_3 - g_2g_3x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_2-f_2)(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) - 2f_3(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

17. $\gamma_{17} = (3f_1g_3^2 - g_3^2x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_3-3f_3)(x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3)$.

18. $\gamma_{18} = (f_1g_2 - g_2x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_1-f_1)(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

19. $\gamma_{19} = (3f_1g_2^2 - g_2^2x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_2-3f_2)(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

20. $\gamma_{20} = (3f_1g_2g_3 - g_2g_3x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 - 2f_2((x_1+f_1)(x_3+f_3) + (x_3-f_3)(x_1+f_1)(x_2+f_2)$.

Since these generators correspond to relations on $\mathcal{E} = \{4x_1f_1, 4x_2f_2, 4x_3f_3, 2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2, 2x_1f_3 + 2x_3f_1, 2x_1f_2 + 2x_2f_1\}$ over $R/Q_1^2$, we need to include the set of generators of $Q_1^2Q_2^2$ to get a complete set of generators for $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$. Thus, $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2 = (\{\gamma_i : i = 1, ..., 20\})R + Q_1^2Q_2^2$.

## 2.4 $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$ (special case)

We obtain the contraction of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ to $S$ by applying the trace map to each of the generators of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$. Showing that each of these generators of $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S$ lies in $\mathfrak{m}P$ proves the Eisenbud-Mazur conjecture in this case. Note that we showed earlier $P = (\{(-x_i + x_1g_i(t)) : i = 2, ..., m\})S + (\{(x_ix_j - f_i(t)f_j(t)) : i, j \in \{1, ..., m\}\})S$. In the case of three generators, we have that $P = (x_1g_2 - x_2, x_1g_3 - x_3, x_1x_2 - f_1f_2, x_1x_3 - f_1f_3, x_2x_3 - f_2f_3, x_1^2 - f_1^2, x_2^2 - f_2^2, x_3^2 - f_3^2)S$. We can express each generator of $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S$ as a linear combination of these generators of $P$ with coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}$. These computations are a special case of the computations for the general case illustrated in Section 2.6.

## 2.5 Computing generators of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ (general case)

We extend the methods of section 2.3 to compute the generators of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ for any number $m$ of generators of $Q_1$. In this case, we seek relations on

$$\mathcal{E} = (4x_1f_1, ..., 4x_mf_m, 2x_1f_2 + 2x_2f_1, ..., 2x_1f_m + 2x_mf_1, 2x_2f_3 + 2x_3f_2, ..., 2x_2f_m + 2x_mf_2, ..., 2x_{m-1}f_m + 2x_mf_{m-1})$$

over $R/Q_1^2$. Denote a typical relation by the vector

$$(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m, \alpha_{12}, ..., \alpha_{1m}, \alpha_{23}, ..., \alpha_{2m}, ..., \alpha_{m-1,m}).$$

As before, we can express every element of $R/Q_1^2$ as a $k[[t]]$-linear combination of $x_1, ..., x_m$. We associate the matrix $M$ of coefficients in $k[[t]]$ with the set $\mathcal{E}$ as we did in the case when $m = 3$. Corresponding to each element in $\mathcal{E}$, we will have $m + 1$ columns of coefficients. Now $|\mathcal{E}| = m + \frac{m(m-1)}{2} = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$. So we have that $\frac{m(m+1)(m+1)}{2}$ columns in $M$. Performing column operations as before, we can show that $M$ has rank equal to the number of rows, which is $m + 1$, giving rise to $\frac{m(m+1)^2}{2} - (m+1) = \frac{(m-1)(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ relations. We indicate these relations below.

1. The $m - 1$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_i^2, \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_{1i} = -2g_i$, $1 < i \leq m$.
2. The $m - 1$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_i(f_1 - x_1), \alpha_{1i} = x_1 - f_1$, $1 < i \leq m$.
3. The $(m-1)(m-2)$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_ig_j(3f_1 - x_1), \alpha_{1i} = x_j - f_j, \alpha_{1j} = -2f_i$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
4. The $(m-1)(m-2)/2$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_ig_j(2f_1 - x_1), \alpha_{1i} = -f_j, \alpha_{1j} = -f_i, \alpha_{ij} = x_1$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
5. The $(m-1)(m-2)/2$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_ig_j, \alpha_{1i} = -g_j, \alpha_{1j} = -g_i, \alpha_{ij} = 1$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
6. The $(m-1)(m-2)$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_i^2g_j(4f_1 - x_1), \alpha_{1i} = -3f_1g_ig_j, \alpha_{1j} = -f_1g_i^2, \alpha_{ij} = x_i$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
7. The $m - 1$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_i^2(3f_1 - x_1), \alpha_{1i} = x_i - 3f_i$, $1 < i \leq m$.
8. The $m - 1$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_i(2f_1 - x_1) + x_i, \alpha_{1i} = -2f_1$, $1 < i \leq m$.
9. The $m - 1$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_i^3(4f_1 - x_1), \alpha_i = x_i, \alpha_{1i} = -4f_1g_i^2$, $1 < i \leq m$.
10. The $m - 1$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_i^2(2f_1 - x_1), \alpha_i = x_1, \alpha_{1i} = -2f_i$, $1 < i \leq m$.
11. The $(m - 1)(m - 2)$ relations with $\alpha_1 = g_i^2g_j(4f_1 - x_1), \alpha_i = x_j, \alpha_{1i} = -2f_1g_ig_j, \alpha_{1j} = -2f_1g_i^2$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
12. The $(m - 1)(m - 2)(m - 3)/2$ relations with

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 = g_ig_jg_k(2f_1 - x_1), \alpha_{ij} = x_k, \alpha_{ki} = -f_1g_j, \alpha_{kj} = -f_1g_i, & 1 < k < i < j \leq n \\ \alpha_1 = g_ig_jg_k(2f_1 - x_1), \alpha_{ij} = x_k, \alpha_{ik} = -f_1g_j, \alpha_{kj} = -f_1g_i, & 1 < i < k < j \leq n \\ \alpha_1 = g_ig_jg_k(2f_1 - x_1), \alpha_{ij} = x_k, \alpha_{ik} = -f_1g_j, \alpha_{jk} = -f_1g_i, & 1 < i < j < k \leq n \end{cases}.$$

So we have accounted for all the $6(m-1)+4(m-1)(m-2)+\frac{(m-1)(m-2)(m-3)}{2} = \frac{(m-1)(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ relations.

The corresponding generators of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ are as follows.

1. The $m-1$ generators $g_i^2(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_i+f_i)^2 - 2g_i(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)$, $1 < i \leq m$.
2. The $m-1$ generators $g_i(f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_1-f_1)(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)$, $1 < i \leq m$.
3. The $(m-1)(m-2)$ generators $g_ig_j(3f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_j-f_j)(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i) + -2f_i(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j)$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
4. The $(m-1)(m-2)/2$ generators $g_ig_j(2f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 - f_j(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i) - f_i(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j) + x_1(x_i+f_i)(x_j+f_j)$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
5. The $(m-1)(m-2)/2$ generators $g_ig_j(x_1+f_1)^2 - g_j(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i) - g_i(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j) + (x_i+f_i)(x_j+f_j)$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
6. The $(m-1)(m-2)$ generators $g_i^2g_j(4f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 - 3f_1g_ig_j(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i) - f_1g_i^2(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j) + x_i(x_i+f_i)(x_j+f_j)$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
7. The $m-1$ generators $g_i^2(3f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + (x_i-3f_i)(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)$, $1 < i \leq m$.
8. The $m-1$ generators $(g_i(2f_1-x_1)+x_i)(x_1+f_1)^2 - 2f_1(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)$, $1 < i \leq m$.
9. The $m-1$ generators $g_i^3(4f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_i(x_i+f_i)^2 - 4f_1g_i^2(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)$, $1 < i \leq m$.
10. The $m-1$ generators $g_i^2(2f-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_1(x_i+f_i)^2 - 2f_i(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)$, $1 < i \leq m$.
11. The $(m-1)(m-2)$ generators $g_i^2g_j(4f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_j(x_i+f_i)^2 - 2f_1g_ig_j(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i) - 2f_1g_i^2(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j)$, $1 < i \neq j < m$.
12. The $(m-1)(m-2)(m-3)/2$ generators $g_ig_jg_k(2f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2 + x_k(x_i+f_i)(x_j+f_j) - f_1g_j(x_k+f_k)(x_i+f_i) - f_1g_j(x_k+f_k)(x_j+f_j)$.

## 2.6 $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$ (general case)

As discussed in Section 2.4, in the special case of three generators, we need to apply the trace map to each of the generators of $Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2$ above along with the generators of $Q_1^2Q_2^2$ to get the generators for $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S$ and show that each of these generators lies in $\mathfrak{m}P$. This will complete the proof that $P^{(2)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$

in the general case. We illustrate these computations below. A step by step verification of these computations is available as an ancillary file to this paper (appendix_of_computations.pdf).

1. $\mathrm{tr}(g_i^2(x_1+f_1)^2+(x_i+f_i)^2-2g_i((x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)))=x_1^2g_i^2+f_1^2g_i^2-2x_1x_ig_i-2f_1f_2g_i+x_i^2+f_i^2=x_1g_i(x_1g_i-x_i)-x_i(x_1g_i-x_i)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

2. $\mathrm{tr}(g_i(f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2+(x_1-f_1)(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i))=-x_1^3g_i+x_1^2f_1g_i-x_1f_1^2g_i+f_1^3g_i+x_1^2x_i-x_1x_if_1+x_1f_1f_i-f_1^2f_i=-x_1^2(x_1g_i-x_i)+x_1f_1(x_1g_i-x_i)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

3. $\mathrm{tr}(g_ig_j(3f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2-2f_i((x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j)+(x_j-f_j)((x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i))=-x_1^3g_ig_j+3x_1^2f_1g_ig_j-x_1f_1^2g_ig_j+3f_1^3g_ig_j-2x_1x_jf_1g_i-2f_1^2f_jg_i-x_1x_if_1g_j-f_1^2f_ig_j+x_1x_ix_j+x_jf_1f_i=-x_1^3g_j(x_1g_i-x_i)-x_1x_i(x_1g_j-x_j)+2x_1f_1g_i(x_1g_j-x_j)+x_1f_1g_j(x_1g_i-x_i)+f_1^2g_i(x_j-x_1g_j)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

4. $\mathrm{tr}(g_ig_j(2f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2+x_1(x_i+f_i)(x_j+f_j)-f_i(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j)-f_j(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i))=-x_1^3g_ig_j+2x_1^2f_1g_ig_j-x_1f_1^2g_ig_j+2f_1^3g_ig_j+x_1x_ix_j-x_1x_jf_i-x_1x_if_j+x_1f_if_j-2f_1f_if_j=-x_1^3g_j(x_1g_i-x_i)-x_1x_i(x_1g_j-x_j)+x_1f_1g_j(x_1g_i-x_i)+x_1f_1g_i(x_1g_j-x_j)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

5. $\mathrm{tr}(g_ig_j(x_1+f_1)^2+(x_i+f_i)(x_j+f_j)-g_i(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j)-g_j(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i))=x_1^2g_ig_j+f_1^2g_ig_j-x_1x_jg_i-f_1f_jg_i-x_1x_ig_j-f_1f_ig_j+x_ix_j+f_if_j=x_1g_i(x_1g_j-x_j)-x_i(x_1g_j-x_j)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

6. $\mathrm{tr}(g_i^2g_j(4f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2+x_i(x_i+f_i)(x_j+f_j)-f_1g_i^2(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j)-3f_1g_ig_j(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j))=-x_1^3g_i^2g_j+4x_1^2f_1g_i^2g_j-x_1f_1^2g_i^2g_j+4f_1^3g_i^2g_j-x_1x_jf_1g_i^2-f_1^2f_jg_i^2-3x_1x_if_1g_ig_j-3f_1^2f_ig_ig_j+x_i^2x_j+x_if_if_j=(-x_1^2g_jg_i-x_1x_ig_j)(x_1g_i-x_i)-x_i^2(x_1g_j-x_j)+x_1f_1g_i^2(x_1g_j-x_j)+3x_1f_1g_ig_j(x_1g_i-x_i)+f_1^2g_ig_j(x_i-x_1g_i)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

7. $\mathrm{tr}(g_i^2(3f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2+(x_i-3f_i)(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i))=-x_1^3g_i^2+3x_1^2f_1g_i^2-x_1f_1^2g_i^2+3f_1^3g_i^2-3x_1x_if_1g_i-3f_1^2f_ig_i+x_1x_i^2+x_if_1f_i=-x_1(x_1g_i+x_i)(x_1g_i-x_i)+3x_1f_1g_i(x_1g_i-x_i)+f_1^2g_i(x_i-x_1g_i)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

8. $\mathrm{tr}((g_i(2f_1-x_1)+x_i)(x_1+f_1)^2-2f_1((x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)))=-x_1^3g_i+x_1^2x_i-2x_1^2f_1g_i+2x_1^2f_i-x_1f_1^2g_i-x_if_1^2+2x_1f_1f_i=-x_1^2(g_ix_1-x_i)+f_1^2(g_ix_1-x_i)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

9. $\mathrm{tr}(g_i^3(4f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2+x_i(x_i+f_i)^2-4f_1g_i^2(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i))=-x_1^3g_i^3+4x_1^2f_1g_i^3-x_1f_1^2g_i^3+4f_1^3g_i^3-4x_1x_if_1g_i^2-4f_1^2f_ig_i^2+x_i^3+x_if_i^2=(x_i-x_1g_i)(x_i^2+x_1x_ig_i+x_1^2g_i^2)-f_1^2g_i^2(x_1g_i-x_i)+4x_1f_1g_i^2(x_1g_i-x_i)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

10. $\mathrm{tr}(g_i^2(2f-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2+x_1(x_i+f_i)^2-2f_i((x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i)))=-x_1^3g_i^2-x_1f_1^2g_i^2+2x_1^2f_ig_i+2f_1^2f_ig_i+x_1x_i^2-2x_1x_if_i+x_1f_i^2-2f_1f_i^2=-x_1(x_1g_i+x_i)(x_1g_i-x_i)-2x_1f_1g_i(x_i-x_1g_i)\in\mathfrak{m}P$.

11. $\mathrm{tr}(g_i^2g_j(4f_1-x_1)(x_1+f_1)^2+x_j(x_i+f_i)^2-2f_1g_i^2(x_1+f_1)(x_j+f_j)-2f_1g_ig_j(x_1+f_1)(x_i+f_i))=-x_1^3g_i^2g_j+4x_1^2f_1g_i^2g_j-x_1f_1^2g_i^2g_j+4f_1^3g_i^2g_j-2x_1x_jf_1g_i^2-2f_1^2f_jg_i^2-2x_1x_if_1g_ig_j-2f_1^2f_ig_ig_j+x_i^2x_j+x_jf_i^2=-x_1^2g_ig_j(x_1g_i-$

$x_i) - x_1x_ig_j(x_1g_i - x_i) - x_i^2(x_1g_j - x_j) - f_1^2g_i^2(x_1g_j - x_j) + 2x_1f_1g_i^2(x_1g_j - x_j) + 2x_1f_1g_ig_j(x_1g_i - x_i) \in \mathfrak{m}P$.

12. $\mathrm{tr}(g_ig_jg_k(2f_1 - x_1)(x_1 + f_1)^2 + x_k(x_i + f_i)(x_j + f_j) - f_1g_j(x_k + f_k)(x_i + f_i) - f_1g_j(x_k + f_k)(x_j + f_j)) = -x_1^3g_ig_jg_k + 2x_1^2f_1g_1g_jg_k - x_1f_1^2g_ig_jg_k + 2f_1^3g_ig_jg_k + x_ix_jx_k - f_ix_jx_k - f_jx_ix_k + f_if_jx_k - 2f_if_jf_k = -x_1^2g_ig_j(x_1g_k - x_k) - x_1x_kg_i(x_1g_j - x_j) - x_jx_k(x_1g_i - x_i) - f_1^2g_ig_j(x_1g_k - x_k) + f_1g_ig_jx_1(x_1g_k - x_k) + f_1g_ix_k(x_1g_j - x_j) + f_1g_ig_jx_1(x_1g_k - x_k) + f_1g_jx_k(x_1g_i - x_i) \in \mathfrak{m}P$.

Lastly, we need to show the generators of $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S$ arising by applying the trace map to $Q_1^2Q_2^2$ also lie in $\mathfrak{m}P$. We can express,

$$Q_1^2Q_2^2 = \{(x_i - f_i)(x_j - f_j)(x_{i'} + f_{i'})(x_{j'} + f_{j'}) : i, i', j, j' \in \{1, ..., m\}\}.$$

Then we have that $\mathrm{tr}((x_i - f_i)(x_j - f_j)(x_{i'} + f_{i'})(x_{j'} + f_{j'})) = x_ix_{i'}x_jx_{j'} + f_if_{i'}x_jx_{j'} + x_ix_{i'}f_jf_{j'} - f_ix_{i'}f_jx_{j'} - f_ix_{i'}x_jf_{j'} - x_if_{i'}f_jx_{j'} - x_if_{i'}x_jf_{j'} + f_if_{i'}f_jf_{j'} = x_{i'}x_{j'}(x_ix_j - f_if_j) + x_jx_{j'}(f_if_{i'} - x_ix_{i'}) + x_ix_{j'}(x_{i'}x_j - f_{i'}f_j) + x_ix_{i'}(f_jf_{j'} - x_jx_{j'}) + x_{i'}x_j(x_ix_{j'} - f_if_{j'}) - f_{i'}f_{j'}(x_ix_j - f_if_j) \in \mathfrak{m}P$.

Thus, every generator of $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S$ is in $\mathfrak{m}P$, showing $(Q_1^2 \cap Q_2^2) \cap S \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$ as promised. Thus, we have shown that $P^{(2)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}P$ (using corollary 2.10), completing the proof of the main theorem.

*Acknowledgement.* I would like to thank Prof. Melvin Hochster for his ideas and advice during the preparation of this manuscript, which is part of my doctoral thesis submitted to the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

# References

[1] Atiyah, M., Macdonald, I., 1994. Introduction to Commutative Algebra. Westview Press.

[2] Cohen, I. S., 1946. On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 59 (1), 54–106.

[3] Eisenbud, D., Mazur, B., 1997. Evolutions, symbolic squares and Fitting ideals. J. reine angew. Math. 488, 189–201.

[4] Hochster, M., 1973. Criteria for equality of ordinary and symbolic powers of primes. Mathematische Zeitschrift 133-1, 53–65.

[5] Hochster, M., Huneke, C., 2007. Fine behavior of symbolic powers of ideals. Illinois J. Math. 51, 171–183.

[6] Huneke, C., Ribbe, J., 1998. Symbolic powers in regular local rings. Mathematische Zeitschrift 229, 31–44.

[7] Huneke, C., Swanson, I., 2006. Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings and Modules. Cambridge University Press.

[8] Kemper, G., 2010. A Course in Commutative Algebra, 1st Edition. Springer.

[9] Kunz, E., 2005. Introduction to Plane Algebraic Curves, 1st Edition. Birkhäuser Boston.

[10] Lang, S., 2002. Algebra, 3rd Edition. Springer.